

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
OF THE NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS**

LISA BLACK,

) Civil Case No. 05-0038

**Plaintiff.**

7

VS.

1

**JIM BREWER, individually and in his official capacity as Acting Principal for Hopwood Junior High School, COMMONWEALTH OF THE NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS PUBLIC SCHOOL SYSTEM, and JOHN AND/OR JANE DOE.** ) **Defendant Jim Brewer's Response**  
 ) **to Plaintiff's Request for Admissions and**  
 ) **Special Interrogatory**  
 )  
 )  
 )  
 )  
 )  
 )

## Defendants.

1

Comes now the Defendant Jim Brewer, through his Legal Counsel, Benjamin L. DeMoux, and hereby responds to Plaintiff's Requests for Admission and Special Interrogatory:

## General Objections

1. These responses are made solely for the purposes of this action, without waiver of the following:
  - a. The right to object to all requests as to competency, relevancy, materiality, confidentiality, privilege and admissibility of the responses and subject matter thereof as evidence for any purpose in any further proceeding in this action, including trial;
  - b. The right to object to the use of any such responses, or subject matter thereof, on any ground in any further proceeding in this action, including trial;

## Low Offers

O'Connor 13-Page Data & Range

RECEIVED

By: Jhen

Page

Times

- c. The right to object on any ground at any time to a future demand for production or other discovery request; and
- d. The right to revise, correct, and to supplement or clarify any of the responses contained herein.

2. Defendant's responses and any identification or production of documents relating thereto are not intended to waive or prejudice any objection Defendant might assert as to, and without acknowledging, the admissibility, materiality, relevancy of any request or document or that such requests are in any way reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

3. Defendant objects to the requests for production to the extent that any request may be construed as calling for information that is subject to a claim of privilege, including but not limited to the attorney-client privilege, or the attorney work product doctrine or any constitutional, statutory or regulatory proscription against disclosure.

4. Defendants object to all requests to the extent that they seek personal and private information that is confidential between Defendant and its employees and/or violates an individual's right to privacy as guaranteed by the CNMI Constitution.

5. If any response or document produced by Defendant violates the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine or any constitutional, statutory or regulatory proscription against disclosure, such response or production is inadvertent and is not intended as a waiver of such privilege.

6. Defendant states that its investigation of the facts relating to this action is not yet complete and its investigation is still on going. Consequently, Defendant reserves the right to supplement these responses and to produce documents at any time during the course of these proceedings.

7. Defendant objects to the requests for production as not being reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

8. All of the following individual responses of Defendant PSS incorporate these general objections.

Without waiving the foregoing objections, PSS responds to Plaintiff's request for production of documents as follows:

**Requests For Admission No. 1:** Please admit that the documents that have been produced by Defendant Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands Public School System, Bates No. 000001 to 001915, are not known to YOU to be anything other than accurate copies of original documents, kept in the ordinary course of business, and that other than the possible objection on the basis of relevance, YOU have no objections to the admission of Bates numbers 000001 to 001915 into evidence at trial.

**RESPONSE:** Defendant admits that all documents produced by the Public School System, are genuine and authentic originals or accurate copies of originals. Defendants admit that each such document is what it purports to be. Defendant reserves the right to object on a legally-supportable grounds to the introduction of any document produced by PSS, except where that objection relates to authenticity. Therefore the rest of the request for admission is denied.

**"Special" Interrogatory:** If you do not admit Request for Admission Number 1, then you must answer the following interrogatory:

**Interrogatory No. 11:** To the extent YOU contend the admission into evidence at trial of any of the documents, Bates numbers 000001 to 001915 is objectionable, other than based upon an objection of relevance, please state:

- a. The particular document by Bates number that you object to admission into evidence at trial; and
- b. The basis of your objection.

**RESPONSE:** Defendant objects to this interrogatory as harassing, unduly burdensome, beyond the scope of permitted discovery, and improperly calling for a bare legal conclusion.

I declare under penalty of perjury that I have reviewed the answers to the requests for admission and interrogatories provided above and the same are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
~ ~

Dated this \_\_\_\_ of August 2006.

/s/  
Benjamin L. DeMoux  
Assistant Attorney General

/s/  
Jim Brewer  
Principal, Hopwood Junior High School\